

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/627,992	07/28/2003	Werner Frie	449122060300	4261
7590 03/02/2005			EXAMINER	
Kevin R. Spiv	/ak	PRETLOW, DEMETRIUS R		
Morrison & Fo Suite 300	erster LLP	ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
1650 Tysons B		2863		
McLean, VA 22102			DATE MAILED: 03/02/2005	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)			
	10/627,992	FRIE ET AL.			
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit			
	Demetrius R. Pretlow	2863			
The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address Period for Reply					
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 MONTH(S) FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) days will be considered timely. - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, cause the application to become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133). Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of this communication, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).					
Status					
 Responsive to communication(s) filed on <u>28 July 2003</u>. This action is FINAL. 2b) This action is non-final. Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i>, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213. 					
Disposition of Claims					
4) ⊠ Claim(s) 1-15 is/are pending in the application. 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdray 5) ⊠ Claim(s) 1-13 is/are allowed. 6) ⊠ Claim(s) 14 is/are rejected. 7) ⊠ Claim(s) 15 is/are objected to. 8) □ Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/o	vn from consideration.				
Application Papers					
9) ☐ The specification is objected to by the Examine 10) ☐ The drawing(s) filed on 28 July 2003 is/are: a)[Applicant may not request that any objection to the Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correct 11) ☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examine	accepted or b) \boxtimes objected to be drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See ion is required if the drawing(s) is obj	e 37 CFR 1.85(a). ected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).			
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119					
 12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. 2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received. 					
Attachment(s) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)/Mail Date 8/13/04.	4) Interview Summary Paper No(s)/Mail Da 5) Notice of Informal P 6) Other:				

Application/Control Number: 10/627,992

Art Unit: 2863

DETAILED ACTION

Drawings

The drawings are objected to because Figures 2-3 contains foreign language. Corrected drawing sheets in compliance with 37 CFR 1.121(d) are required in reply to the Office action to avoid abandonment of the application. Any amended replacement drawing sheet should include all of the figures appearing on the immediate prior version of the sheet, even if only one figure is being amended. The figure or figure number of an amended drawing should not be labeled as "amended." If a drawing figure is to be canceled, the appropriate figure must be removed from the replacement sheet, and where necessary, the remaining figures must be renumbered and appropriate changes made to the brief description of the several views of the drawings for consistency. Additional replacement sheets may be necessary to show the renumbering of the remaining figures. Each drawing sheet submitted after the filing date of an application must be labeled in the top margin as either "Replacement Sheet" or "New Sheet" pursuant to 37 CFR 1.121(d). If the changes are not accepted by the examiner, the applicant will be notified and informed of any required corrective action in the next Office action. The objection to the drawings will not be held in abeyance.

Specification

The disclosure is objected to because of the following informalities:

On page 1, line 37 to page 1, line 2, there appear to be words missing.

On page 6, the majority of the page is blank.

Appropriate correction is required.

Application/Control Number: 10/627,992

Art Unit: 2863

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

(b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States.

Claim 14 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipate by Wright et al. (US 5,00,039). Wright et al. teach a mass airflow sensor (10), with which a signal is formed corresponding to a magnitude for a mass airflow in an air duct; Note abstract lines 3-10, column 3, lines 34-49. Wright et al. teach an analysis device, linked to the mass airflow sensor, converts the signals from the mass airflow sensor into values for the mass airflow. Note column 4, lines 4-36.

Allowable Subject Matter

Claim 15 is objected to as being dependent upon a rejected base claim, but would be allowable if rewritten in independent form including all of the limitations of the base claim and any intervening claims.

The primary reason for the allowance of claim 15 is the inclusion of the limitations of an combination of claim limitations in particular the limitations of wherein the mass airflow sensor unit captures signals, each of which corresponds to an amount of a value of the mass airflow, such that sensor signals are captured and values are determined for the mass airflow using a characteristic curve; subjecting a time series of signals, which comprises several signals which have been captured, to a vibration analysis, which determines a fundamental vibration and at least on: prescribed harmonic vibration

Art Unit: 2863

of the fundamental vibration and compares parameters of the fundamental vibration and the prescribed harmonic vibration; and establishing a backflow against the average mass air- flow, due to pulsations, when the ratio of the parameters of the harmonic vibration to the fundamental vibration exceeds a prescribed threshold value.

It is these limitations found in each of the claims, as they are claimed in the combination, that has not been found, taught or suggested by the prior art of record which makes these claims allowable over the prior art.

Claims 1-13 are allowed.

The best prior art of record particular, Sultan (US 5,629,481) teach A mass air flow measurement system comprising a sensing device located in an air flow providing an output signal relational to amount of mass air flow past the device. However Sultan does not teach the following combination of claim limitations.

The primary reason for the allowance of claims 1-13 is the inclusion of the combination of method steps in particular the method steps of subjecting a time series of signals, which comprises several signals which have been captured, to a vibration analysis, which determines a fundamental vibration and at least one prescribed harmonic vibration of the fundamental vibration and compares parameters of the fundamental vibration and the prescribed harmonic vibration; and establishing a backflow against the average mass air- flow, due to pulsations, when the ratio of the parameters of the harmonic vibration to the fundamental vibration exceeds a prescribed threshold value..

It is this steps found in each of the claims, as it is claimed in the combination, that has

Application/Control Number: 10/627,992

Art Unit: 2863

not been found, taught or suggested by the prior art of record which makes these claims

allowable over the prior art.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the

examiner should be directed to Demetrius R. Pretlow whose telephone number is (703)

272-2278. The examiner can normally be reached on 8-4:30.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's

supervisor, John Barlow can be reached on (571) 272-2269. The fax phone number for

the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 703-872-9306.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the

Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for

published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR.

Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only.

For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should

Demetrius Pretlow 2/23/05

you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic

Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

Demetrius R. Pretlow

Patent Examiner

Page 5